

Overview & Scrutiny Recommendation Response Pro forma

Under section 9FE of the Local Government Act 2000, Overview and Scrutiny Committees must require the Cabinet or local authority to respond to a report or recommendations made thereto by an Overview and Scrutiny Committee. Such a response must be provided within two months from the date on which it is requested¹ and, if the report or recommendations in questions were published, the response also must be so.

This template provides a structure which respondents are encouraged to use. However, respondents are welcome to depart from the suggested structure provided the same information is included in a response. The usual way to publish a response is to include it in the agenda of a meeting of the body to which the report or recommendations were addressed.

Issue: Vision Zero Strategy and Action Plan

Lead Cabinet Member(s): Cllr Andrew Gant, Cabinet Member for Highway Management

Date response requested:² 23 April 2024

Response to report:

Enter text here.

Response to recommendations:

Recommendation	Accepted, rejected or partially accepted	Proposed action (if different to that recommended) and indicative timescale (unless rejected)
1. That the Council should work to establish a partnership board to monitor progress on Vision Zero with Thames Valley Police being fully involved as a key partner.		

¹ Date of the meeting at which report/recommendations were received

² Date of the meeting at which report/recommendations were received

Overview & Scrutiny Recommendation Response Pro forma

<p>2. That the Council should continue to engage with Thames Valley Police in order to encourage enforcement of speed limits.</p>		
<p>3. That the Council should identify additional powers that would support delivery of responsibilities and Vision Zero ambitions and request of the Secretary of State for Transport that Government considers implementing them.</p>		
<p>4. That the Council should engage with other local authorities, particularly those with similarly diverse counties, and learn from them as well as to take learning from best practice in other authorities.</p>		
<p>5. That the Council should add greater emphasis on the five pillars as integral to the Vision Zero Key Areas.</p>		
<p>6. That the Council should ensure that its Vision Zero ambitions should be led by evidence and not be overly-focused on behaviour.</p>		
<p>7. That the Council should continue to prioritise its infrastructure projects on the basis of data and evidence, taking account of the insights of local members.</p>		
<p>8. That the Council should publish the danger hotspots on its website clearly linked to the underlying evidence.</p>		
<p>9. That the Council should publish the numbers of road deaths of children and</p>		

Overview & Scrutiny Recommendation Response Pro forma

<p>teenagers, and also by sex, clearly linked to Vision Zero.</p>		
<p>10. That the Council should add SUVs and motorcycle categories to its safer vehicles section. It should also delineate the number and proportion of collisions involve SUVs.</p>		
<p>11. That the Council should set out, in as much detail as possible, information relating to the budget for this strategy and the costs associated with it, as well as the associated ongoing revenue costs.</p>		
<p>12. That the Council should ensure that existing road layouts are considered as material planning considerations in its responses to applications for new residential developments.</p>		
<p>13. That the Council should undertake a safety audit of past road safety initiatives to learn from what has – or has not – worked previously.</p>		